

August 25, 2022

Via Certified Mail

Ms. Deborah F. Stanley, Interim Chancellor  
Ms. Anta Cissé-Green  
Senior Vice Chancellor & General Counsel  
State University of New York Central Administration  
353 Broadway  
Albany, NY 12207

Dr. Havidán Rodríguez  
President  
State University of New York at Albany  
1400 Washington Avenue  
Albany, NY 12222

Ms. Amanda Maleszweski  
Chief Campus Counsel  
State University of New York at Albany  
University Hall 208  
1400 Washington Avenue  
Albany, NY 12222

Dear Madams and Sir:

In July 2022, I applied as a non-matriculated undergraduate student to the State University of New York at Albany to take a Medieval Literature class. I received my advisor's validation number (AVN) from the administrative offices and set up my online account so I could enroll.

Per University policy, I promptly filed my declaration of religious exemption to medical injections (commonly called "vaccines") with the Student Health Offices and the Dean of Students, both of which are involved in the religious exemption process.

On July 27, 2022, I received notification from Clarence McNeill, the Dean of Students, that my declaration was denied without the possibility of appeal, for my allegedly not demonstrating religious convictions.

Dean McNeill's office has refused any and all correspondence and/or telephone calls from me, which is not the sign of a good-faith actor. He responded one time, via email, with a form letter advising me that my options were to **1.** Get vaccinated. **2.** Go fully online, if the course was offered. **3.** Withdraw from the University.

None of these are viable options, and all of them violate my equal protection Constitutional right to attend the State University of New York, which is a public institution subject to federal civil rights laws.

Regardless of what criteria Dean McNeill may have used to make his allegedly irrefutable determination that I do not demonstrate suitable religious conviction, no man or woman is in a position to cast judgment on my relationship with God, or the nature of my deeply-held religious values — or those of any other person.

Does Dean McNeill have even the pretense of theological or philosophical training to make such a determination? What was his criteria for judgment, to ensure fair and equal application of the law? His decision and the fact that is allegedly not appealable seems wholly arbitrary — and dictated from above his pay grade.

Nor must I justify the tenets of my faith to a University administrator. That is a private matter. I assert that he did not even deliberate on my case; he merely followed the stated *a priori* policy of the University that no religious exemptions will be granted for the "covid vaccine." We have not heard of one such exemption.

The spiritual pilgrim must be given the benefit of the doubt that he or she is sincere in their faith, not just as an idea but as guidance for living. An objection to immoral conduct can be a personal, sincerely held religious belief, or an objection in conscience, which arises from the very nature of freedom of religion articulated in the First Amendment.

I have demonstrated to the University that my religious objections to "vaccines" date back many years, that they are perfectly consistent, and that I have applied them to my entire family.

In previous correspondence, I have cited the well-known teachings of the Catholic Church that abortion is murder, and that therefore the use of products made from aborted people — from the bodies of murder victims — is also a violation of God's law.

However, let's talk about Federal law. The United States Constitution grants an unequivocal right to *freedom from interference by the government in all*

*religious matters.* The language, "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof," does not state or meekly imply any exceptions or conditions.

The recent Supreme Court decision allowing states to ban abortion, always a religious matter, should serve as some guidance that this is a mainstream and moral and legal position.

Conscientious objection is well-traveled territory in both U.S. and international jurisprudence. It is one of the dividing lines between a free society and a totalitarian one. In a free society, there is *freedom of conscience*.

In *Clay v. United States*, 403 U.S. 698 (1971), the Supreme Court held that, "The court said the record shows that [Muhammad Ali's] beliefs are founded on tenets of the Muslim religion as he understands them." That is the law of the land. That is the legal standard for conscientious objection.

Further, the 14th Amendment to the Constitution grants me the right to be treated *as all other students* on the State University at Albany campus, or any State University campus, regardless of your sitting in judgment of my alleged medical, religious or moral status.

"Covid" has emerged as a kind of sacred cow, demanding the sacrifice of our fundamental rights, our faith, our common sense our human decency — the very foundational principles of the American experiment.

Governments in the United States seem to be relying as justification for their mandates on the antiquated *Jacobson v. Massachusetts* decision from 1905. This decision sets a \$5 fine for refusal to get a "vaccine." Five dollars, paid once — not excommunication from society.

Further, *Jacobson* was decided long before the Human Rights declarations that codified for humanity its proper conduct following the Nazi atrocities before and during World War II.

Under Article 32 of the 1949 Geneva Convention IV, "Mutilation and medical or scientific experiments not necessitated by the medical treatment of a protected person" are prohibited.

According to Article 147, conducting biological experiments on protected persons is a grave breach of the Convention.

Article 7 of the 1966 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights provides: "No one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation."

The Nuremberg Principles, enacted in the aftermath of the Holocaust, hold that in any medical experiment, "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential." The world recently lauded their 75th anniversary.

You should not need an international treaty to tell you these things. In your reading of the law, a prisoner of war has more rights than a SUNY Albany student. To attempt to coerce me by withholding my ability to study means that the "vaccine" is not voluntary. For that reason alone, it is immoral.

Worse, "vaccines" are an ongoing medical experiment, *and I do not consent*. This is my right, and it is especially true of the "covid vaccine," which attempts to inoculate against a disease for which there is no valid test. To this day, the postulated viral pathogen has never been isolated from a human patient.

Medical authorities have not been able to show that the PCR or antigen "tests," as are being used, have any diagnostic capabilities whatsoever. Previously, the CDC and other medical authorities have called attention to the 100% false positive rate of the PCR.

You have no medical emergency on which to base your claim that this "vaccine" or any other is necessary. That implies a dishonest motive.

You also have no right to force people to subject themselves to a suicide pact with the University. The Church holds that one's life is the property of God, and to destroy that life is to wrongly assert dominion over God's creation, or to attack God remotely. To subject myself to the "covid vaccine" is to court suicide.

As of this writing, the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) states that there have been reported 30,347 "covid vaccine" related deaths. In the prior 32 years that the system has been in place, there have been 10,000 deaths from ALL prior "vaccine" products combined.

The "covid vaccine" has *tripled the total death count in a mere 19 months* since the product was rolled out in late 2020. Studies commissioned for CDC indicate that the underreporting rate may be as high as 100-fold, meaning that there have been actually 3 million dead bodies created by the "covid vaccine" — as calculated only by the American reporting system.

This is a moral outrage, in which I refuse to participate on any level.

As educated members of civilization who no doubt have objected to the atrocities of the Holocaust, and who claim to be law-abiding, you should not need a Federal Court to tell you right from wrong.

And while you may take solace in the tepid response of the legal profession and the courts to these matters (so far), recent news indicates that this seems more of a passing trend that will change as the truth of the ongoing atrocities and lies of the "covid" era continue to emerge.

In closing, I assert my religious, moral and legal rights under Church canon, state and federal law, and international treaties to not partake in your medical experiments, and demand to attend the State University of New York at Albany as a free person without being subjected to medical experiments, or religious, medical or any other form of discrimination.

Very truly yours,



Heidi S. Liscomb  
Nassau, New York 12123  
[hiscorb@yahoo.com](mailto:hiscorb@yahoo.com)